

# Unmasking the Enigma: Epstein-Barr Virus as a Primary Trigger in Multiple Sclerosis

Ling Zhong<sup>1,2</sup>, Qinjian Zhao<sup>1</sup> and Xiao Zhang<sup>1\*</sup>

<sup>1</sup>Department of Pharmacy, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China

<sup>2</sup>Department of Experimental Research, Sun Yat-sen University Cancer Center, Guangzhou, China

**Corresponding author:** Xiao Zhang, Department of Pharmacy, Chongqing Medical University, Chongqing, China, E-mail: 103193@cqmu.edu.cn

**Received date:** May 29, 2023, Manuscript No. IPADO-23-16826; **Editor assigned date:** May 31, 2023, PreQC No. IPADO-23-16826 (PQ); **Reviewed date:** June 05, 2023, QC No. IPADO-23-16826; **Revised date:** June 09, 2023, Manuscript No. IPADO-23-16826 (R); **Published date:** June 14, 2023, DOI: 10.21767/2471-8513.09.02.31

**Citation:** Zhong L, Zhao Q, Zhang X (2023) Unmasking the Enigma: Epstein-Barr Virus as a Primary Trigger in Multiple Sclerosis. J Autoimmune Disord Vol.9.No.2: 31.

## Abstract

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory disease, with Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) infection as a primary cause. MS impacts about 2.8 million people globally, posing significant economic burden due to treatment costs and patient unemployment. The relationship between EBV and MS is substantiated by epidemiological, immunological and virological studies, including higher EBV load in MS patients, presence of EBV proteins in MS lesions and elevation of certain immunological markers post-EBV infection. The precise mechanism by which EBV triggers MS is unclear, but evidence points towards molecular mimicry, wherein the immune response against EBV may also inadvertently target neuronal tissue. Therapies focusing on this relationship are being developed, including EBV-specific cytotoxic T-cell therapy. Despite this, the exact mechanism of EBV-induced MS and the potential for prophylactic vaccines remain areas of ongoing investigation.

**Keywords:** EBV; MS; Molecular mimicry

## Introduction

Multiple Sclerosis (MS) is a chronic inflammatory and demyelinating disease of the Central Nervous System (CNS) and is the leading cause of non-traumatic disability in young adults [1]. The incidence of MS is increasing and approximately 2.8 million people live with MS worldwide [2]. MS causes heavy economic burden due to the high cost of treatment, poor prospect and unemployment of the patients [3]. The symptom of MS is heterogeneous resulting from the various locations of lesions in CNS. The progression of MS is defined as three distinct clinical courses: Relapsing-Remitting MS (RRMS), Secondary Progressive MS (SPMS) and Primary Progressive MS (PPMS) [4]. In addition, Clinical Isolated Syndrome (CIS) also can progress to MS. Various genetic susceptibility and lifestyles and environmental factors contribute to the high risk of developing MS [5]. Pathogens infection is thought to trigger the onset of MS and Epstein-Barr Virus (EBV) infection is the primary cause of

the development of MS among the different infectious agents [6].

## EBV Infection Promote the Development of MS

EBV belongs to human herpesvirus gamma-subfamily and is also tightly associated with various malignancies [7]. After primary infection, EBV establishes life-long latency in host cells along with repeated reactivation. EBV has a 173 kb double-stranded DNA genome with approximately 100 protein-encoding genes. Lytic genes are classified as immediate early, early and late proteins based on their different expression time in virus life cycle [8]. Latent proteins, EBV nuclear antigens (EBNA-1,-2,-3A,-3B,-3C and -LP) and latent membrane proteins (LMP-1, -2A and -2B) contribute to B cell transformation and tumorigenesis [9].

EBV primary infection in young adults often causes Infectious Mononucleosis (IM), which increases the risk of the development of MS [10]. Increased EBV load was detected in saliva from pediatric MS patients [11]. Expression of EBV latent proteins (EBNA-3A, LMP-2A and LMP) and lytic protein (BZLF-1 and gp350) were detected in inflammatory MS brain lesions [12-16]. Besides, it is reported that the increase of anti-EBNA1 IgG titers in MS patients is correlated with disease progression and CIS patients with elevated EBNA-1 IgG titers are more likely to convert to RRMS [17-20]. Increased EBNA-1-specific T cell response was observed in MS patients and lytic antigen-specific T cell response was also increased in active phase of MS [12,21]. In addition, Oligoclonal Bands (OCBs) specifically binding EBV EBNA-1 and BRRF2 were detected in Cerebrospinal Fluid (CSF) of MS patients [22,23]. Recently, a longitude epidemiological study based on a large cohort of more than 10 million US army personnel confirmed that the risk of MS increased 32-fold after EBV infection [6]. The concentration elevation of Serum Neurofilament Light chain (sNfl), a biomarker of neuroaxonal degeneration, occurred only after EBV infection [6]. The antibody response to other viral peptides except for EBV was similar in MS patients and controls [6]. Overall, EBV infection is required for the development of MS.

## The Underlying Mechanism of MS Occurrence Induced by EBV

However, the precise mechanism of EBV infection causing MS remains unclear. EBV infected B cells and plasma cells bypassed with cytotoxic T cells were detected in MS lesions in CNS [13,14,24,25]. EBV could immortalize the naive auto-reactive B cells and bypass the normal elimination process, which leads to the survival of autoreactive B cells [26]. The defective CD8<sup>+</sup> and CD4<sup>+</sup> T cell surveillance of EBV reactivation in MS patients may lead to the expansion of EBV latently infected B cells and subsequent diseases progress [27]. In addition, EBNA-2 participated the formation of transcription complexes at the MS risk loci [28]. Here, we will focus on the molecular mimicry contributed to the development of MS between EBV and autoantigens.

Multiple EBV proteins are recognized by cross-reactive T cells and antibodies targeting self-antigens. T cells in MS patients autoreactive to self-antigen Myelin Basic Protein (MBP), Anoctamin2 (ANO2),  $\alpha$ -crystallin B chain (CRYAB) and Glial Cell Adhesion Molecule (GlialCAM) also cross-reacted with EBNA-1 [21,29-32]. Besides, brain homing CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells targeting self-antigen RASGRP2 cross-reacted with EBV lytic protein BHRF1 and BPLF1 [33]. Autoreactive antibodies in MS patients were also cross-reactive with EBNA-1. The epitopes of antibody cross-reactive to ANO2 and EBNA-1 was mapped at aa 140-149 of ANO2 and aa 431-440 of EBNA-1 [29]. Antibodies against peptides of aa 386-405, aa 411-426 and aa 385-420 of EBNA-1 cross-reacted with self-antigens GlialCAM, MBP and CRYAB, respectively [30,34,35]. The cross-reactive antibodies in CSF were evolved from ones only targeted viral proteins and the affinity maturation in brain changed the reactivity of the B cell clones, which led to the cross-reactivity of self-antigens [30]. Additionally, administration of peptides from EBNA-1 aggravated the condition of EAE mice [30].

## Potent Viral-Specific Therapeutic for MS Treatment

Considering the key role of EBV infection in MS development, some studies developed viral-specific therapeutic for MS treatment. ATA188, an allogenic EBV CTL therapy, are tested in PPMS, SPMS patients and patients with a first clinical episode highly suggestive of MS (NCT03283826; NCT02912897). Patients received *in vitro* expanded autologous T cells targeting EBNA-1, LMP-1 and LMP-2A with strong reactivity showed clinical improvement [36]. Non-cyclic nucleoside analogue tenofovir alafenamide is tested as an add-on therapy of ocrelizumab in RRMS (NCT04880577). Furthermore, no prophylactic vaccine against EBV infection or MS is available until now. Induction potent and durable humoral and cellular immune response is essential for an ideal vaccine. Vaccines prevented IM in young adults may protect these individuals from development of MS in the future.

## Conclusion

In reality, the exact mechanisms through which EBV initiates MS remain uncertain. Two main possibilities are often discussed: The direct action of EBV-infected cells or viral proteins in the Central Nervous System (CNS) and the indirect effects of peripheral inflammation induced by EBV. It's clear from multiple verified studies that EBV, as a precipitating factor, significantly influences MS progression. Consequently, EBV is a promising focus for the development of future treatments or preventative measures for MS.

## Acknowledgement

This work was supported by the grant from the National Natural Science Foundation of China (32070925 to Qinjian Zhao).

## Competing Interests

The authors declare no competing interests.

## Authors' Contributions

Ling Zhong, Qinjian Zhao and Xiao Zhang wrote the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## References

- Filippi M, Bar-Or A, Piehl F, Preziosa P, Solari A, et al. (2018) Multiple sclerosis. *Nat Rev Dis Primers* 4: 43.
- Walton C, King R, Rechtman L, Kaye W, Leray E, et al. (2020) Rising prevalence of multiple sclerosis worldwide: Insights from the Atlas of MS, third edition. *Mult Scler* 26: 1816-1821.
- Chen AY, Chonghasawat AO, Leadholm KL (2017) Multiple sclerosis: Frequency, cost and economic burden in the United States. *J Clin Neurosci* 45: 180-186.
- Thompson AJ, Banwell BL, Barkhof F, Carroll WM, Coetzee T, et al. (2018) Diagnosis of multiple sclerosis: 2017 revisions of the McDonald criteria. *Lancet Neurol* 17: 162-173.
- Olsson T, Barcellos LF, Alfredsson L (2017) Interactions between genetic, lifestyle and environmental risk factors for multiple sclerosis. *Nat Rev Neurol* 13: 25-36.
- Bjornevik K, Cortese M, Healy BC, Kuhle J, Mina MJ, et al. (2022) Longitudinal analysis reveals high prevalence of Epstein-Barr Virus associated with multiple sclerosis. *Science* 375: 296-301.
- Damania B, Kenney SC, Raab-Traub N (2022) Epstein-Barr virus: Biology and clinical disease. *Cell* 185: 3652-3670.
- Murata T (2018) Encyclopedia of EBV-encoded lytic genes: An update. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1045: 395-412.
- Kanda T (2018) EBV-encoded latent genes. *Adv Exp Med Biol* 1045: 377-394.
- Sheik-Ali S (2017) Infectious mononucleosis and multiple sclerosis—updated review on associated risk. *Mult Scler Relat Disord* 14: 56-59.
- Yea C, Tellier R, Chong P, Westmacott G, Marrie RA, et al. (2013) Epstein-Barr Virus in oral shedding of children with multiple sclerosis. *Neurology* 81: 1392-1399.

12. Angelini DF, Serafini B, Piras E, Severa M, Coccia EM, et al. (2013) Increased CD8<sup>+</sup> T cell response to Epstein-Barr Virus lytic antigens in the active phase of multiple sclerosis. *PLoS Pathog* 9: P1003220.
13. Veroni C, Serafini B, Rosicarelli B, Fagnani C, Aloisi F, et al. (2018) Transcriptional profile and Epstein-Barr virus infection status of laser-cut immune infiltrates from the brain of patients with progressive multiple sclerosis. *J Neuroinflammation* 15: 18.
14. Serafini B, Severa M, Columba-Cabezas S, Rosicarelli B, Veroni C, et al. (2010) Epstein-Barr virus latent infection and BAFF expression in B cells in the multiple sclerosis brain: Implications for viral persistence and intrathecal B-cell activation. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 69: 677-693.
15. Tzartos JS, Khan G, Vossenkamper A, Cruz-Sadaba M, Lonardi S, et al. (2012) Association of innate immune activation with latent Epstein-Barr Virus in active MS lesions. *Neurology* 78: 15-23.
16. Moreno MA, Or-Geva N, Aftab BT, Khanna R, Croze E, et al. (2018) Molecular signature of Epstein-Barr Virus infection in MS brain lesions. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 5: P466.
17. Ascherio A, Munger KL, Lennette ET, Spiegelman D, Hernán MA, et al. (2001) Epstein-Barr Virus antibodies and risk of multiple sclerosis: A prospective study. *JAMA* 286: 3083-3088.
18. Sundstrom P, Juto P, Wadell G, Hallmans G, Svenningsson A, et al. (2004) An altered immune response to Epstein-Barr Virus in multiple sclerosis: A prospective study. *Neurology* 62: 2277-2282.
19. Farrell RA, Antony D, Wall GR, Clark DA, Fisniku L, et al. (2009) Humoral immune response to EBV in multiple sclerosis is associated with disease activity on MRI. *Neurology* 73: 32-38.
20. Mescheriakova JY, van Nierop GP, van der Eijk AA, Kreft KL, Hintzen RQ, et al. (2020) EBNA-1 titer gradient in families with multiple sclerosis indicates a genetic contribution. *Neurol Neuroimmunol Neuroinflamm* 7: P872.
21. Lunemann JD, Jelcic I, Roberts S, Lutterotti A, Tackenberg B, et al. (2008) EBNA1-specific T cells from patients with multiple sclerosis cross react with myelin antigens and co-produce IFN-gamma and IL-2. *J Exp Med* 205: 1763-1773.
22. Virtanen JO, Wohler J, Fenton K, Reich DS, Jacobson S, et al. (2014) Oligoclonal bands in multiple sclerosis reactive against two herpesviruses and association with magnetic resonance imaging findings. *Mult Scler* 20: 27-34.
23. Cepok S, Zhou D, Srivastava R, Nessler S, Stei S, et al. (2005) Identification of Epstein-Barr Virus proteins as putative targets of the immune response in multiple sclerosis. *J Clin Invest* 115: 1352-1360.
24. Magliozzi R, Serafini B, Rosicarelli B, Chiappetta G, Veroni C, et al. (2013) B-cell enrichment and Epstein-Barr Virus infection in inflammatory cortical lesions in secondary progressive multiple sclerosis. *J Neuropathol Exp Neurol* 72: 29-41.
25. Serafini B, Rosicarelli B, Veroni C, Mazzola GA, Aloisi F, et al. (2019) Epstein-Barr Virus-Specific CD8 T cells selectively infiltrate the brain in multiple sclerosis and interact locally with virus-infected cells: Clue for a virus-driven immunopathological mechanism. *J Virol* 93: 1-5.
26. Pender MP (2011) The essential role of Epstein-Barr Virus in the pathogenesis of multiple sclerosis. *Neuroscientist* 17: 351-367.
27. Pender MP, Csurhes PA, Burrows JM, Burrows SR (2017) Defective T-cell control of Epstein-Barr Virus infection in multiple sclerosis. *Clin Transl Immunology* 6: P126.
28. Harley JB, Chen X, Pujato M, Miller D, Maddox A, et al. (2018) Transcription factors operate across disease loci, with EBNA2 implicated in autoimmunity. *Nat Genet* 50: 699-707.
29. Tengvall K, Huang J, Hellström C, Kammer P, Biström M, et al. (2019) Molecular mimicry between Anoctamin 2 and Epstein-Barr Virus nuclear antigen 1 associates with multiple sclerosis risk. *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 116: 16955-16960.
30. Lanz TV, Brewer RC, Ho PP, Moon J, Jude KM, et al. (2022) Clonally expanded B cells in multiple sclerosis bind EBV EBNA1 and GlialCAM. *Nature* 603: 321-327.
31. van Nierop GP, Mautner J, Mitterreiter JG, Hintzen RQ, Verjans GMGM, et al. (2016) Intrathecal CD8 T-cells of multiple sclerosis patients recognize lytic Epstein-Barr Virus proteins. *Mult Scler* 22: 279-291.
32. van Sechel AC, Bajramovic JJ, van Stipdonk MJ, Persoon-Deen C, Geutskens SB, et al. (1999) EBV-induced expression and HLA-DR-restricted presentation by human B cells of alpha B-crystallin, a candidate autoantigen in multiple sclerosis. *J Immunol* 162: 129-135.
33. Jelcic I, Nimer FAI, Wang J, Lentsch V, Planas R, et al. (2018) Memory b cells activate brain-homing, autoreactive CD4<sup>+</sup> T cells in multiple sclerosis. *Cell* 175: 85-100 e123.
34. Hecker M, Fitzner B, Wendt M, Lorenz P, Flechtner K, et al. (2016) High-density peptide microarray analysis of IgG autoantibody reactivities in serum and cerebrospinal fluid of multiple sclerosis patients. *Mol Cell Proteomics* 15: 1360-1380.
35. Soldan SS, Lieberman PM (2023) Epstein-Barr Virus and multiple sclerosis. *Nat Rev Microbiol* 21: 51-64.
36. Pender MP, Csurhes PA, Smith C, Douglas NL, Neller MA, et al. (2018) Epstein-Barr Virus-specific T cell therapy for progressive multiple sclerosis. *JCI Insight* 3: P124714.